









Active










Compose



Inbox 1


Starred



Snoozed



Sent



Drafts 154



More


Labels
+



BM











Bolonga


DAta analysis 33


Discount Voch



ISE 4th 59


LFU 8










1 of 11,474

## [Mathematics] Manuscript ID: mathematics-3933910 - Acknowledgement - Review Received




External Inbox x



**mathematics@mdpi.com**

to me, mathematics

00:50 (6 hours ago)

Dear Dr. Kareem,

Thank you for submitting your review of the following manuscript:

Manuscript ID: mathematics-3933910  
 Title: All for One or One for All? A comparative study of group effects in additive Bayesian networks  
 Authors: Magali Champion \*, Matteo Delucchi, Reinhard Furrer

Please note that, according to our standard editorial process, we will not send the revised version of the manuscript back to reviewers who suggest "minor revisions". However, you can see the revised version of the manuscript on request. You can find more information about our editorial process here:  
[https://www.mdpi.com/editorial\\_process](https://www.mdpi.com/editorial_process)

We are continuously working to improve the services we offer and would greatly appreciate receiving feedback about your experiences through the