زانکۆی پۆلیتەکنیکی هەولێر
ERBIL POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY

mohamed arbili <mohamed.arbili@epu.edu.iq>

[ICITAMS-2023] Review for paper #1570877849 completed
1 message

ICITAMS-2023 <icitams-2023-chairs@edas.info>   24 January 2023 at 21:31
To: Mohamed Moafak Arbili <mohamed.arbili@epu.edu.iq>
Cc: Salwa Shakir Baawi <salwa.baawi@qu.edu.iq>, "Sattar B. Sadkhan" <drengsattar@ieee.org>, Sabiha Fathil Jawad <sabiha.fathil@ieee.org>

Dear Dr. Mohamed Arbili,

Thank you for completing the review of the paper #1570877849 ("Estimation of Fuzzy Robust Non-Parametric Regression Model Based on Cubic Sp") for ICITAMS-2023. Below is a copy of your review.

You can modify the report by going to https://edas.info/R.php?r=11949367 up to the due date of Feb 19, 2023 01:00 Asia/Dubai.

Best regards,
TPC Chair

> *** Originality: What the level of content's originality?
Medium Originality (2)

> *** Commandtes to Authors: Commands to Authors

Through reviewing the paper carefully, some minor correction/ modification are required as suggested below:
1- Some editing for English language is required throughout the manuscript due to too many mistakes.
2- It would have been even more useful if the abstract be more expressed, because it is more useful briefly explain the objective of the research, the problem, and the results of the solutions to this problem.
3- Authors should revise better and more the current literature in the field. More effort is needed to show the significance of the study and clear what is missing in the previous researches and what is the contribution of the research in the field
4- The authors should explain better of the Figures.
5-The results need more discussion in depth and comparison with findings from previous researches.
6- The references number must be increase.

> *** Commandes to TPC: If you want to , you can provide some additional comments to the TPC that will not be disclosed to the authors

This paper has a potential to be accepted, but some important points have to be clarified or fixed before we can proceed and a positive action can be taken as suggested in the Comments to Author

> *** Originality of the submission: New or Novel contribution
Average (2)

> *** Novelty and Originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper

Average (2)

> *** Technical Content and Correcteness: Rate the technical contents of the paper
Average (2)

> *** Quality of Presentation: Rate the quality of presentation including Paper organization, the clearness of text and figures , completeness , and accuracy of references
Average (2)

> *** References used in the paper: Novelty and Closeness of References used in the paper
Average (2)

> *** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the relevance of the paper to the conference and the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research
Average (2)

> *** Language Status: Is the Language of the paper is good ? write your commands about the language
Average (2)

> *** Reviewer Expertise: Rate your expertise in the subject matter of the paper
Average (1)

> *** Strength Points: Write down the strong points of the paper

This paper, has presented a study based on comparison criteria, with aid of the MATLAB programming, the validity of the proposed method has been proved

> *** Weakness Points: Write down the weak points of the paper

The ratio of accuracy for the results obtained in the abstract not mention as well.

> *** Overall Recommendation: Indicate your overall recommendation
Accept (4)